

Chapter 4

Affine W-algebras associated to truncated current Lie algebras

In this chapter, we define classical and quantum affine W-algebras associated to truncated current Lie algebras.

4.1 Vertex algebras and Poisson vertex algebras

For a vector space V , the vector space of formal Laurent series with coefficients in V is defined to be

$$V[[z, z^{-1}]] = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^n \mid v_n \in V \right\}.$$

It contains the following subspaces

$$V[z] = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^N v_n z^n \mid v_n \in V, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}, \quad V[[z]] = \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} v_n z^n \mid v_n \in V \right\}$$

and

$$V((z)) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^n \mid v_n \in V, v_n = 0 \text{ for } n \ll 0 \right\}.$$

When V is a vector superspace, an element $v(z) = \sum_n v_n z^n \in V[[z, z^{-1}]]$ is called homogeneous if all of the coefficients v_n have the same parity, which is also defined to be the parity of $v(z)$. The formal differential and the formal residue of $v(z)$ are defined respectively as follows,

$$\partial_z v(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n v_n z^{n-1}, \quad \text{Res}_z v(z) := v_{-1}.$$

Definition 4.1.1. A *vertex superalgebra* is a quadruple $(V, |0\rangle, Y, T)$, where V is a vector superspace, $|0\rangle \in V$ is an even element called the *vacuum vector*, $Y : V \rightarrow \text{End } V[[z, z^{-1}]]$ is a parity-preserving map sending a to $Y(a, z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1}$ called the *vertex operator* associated to a , and $T : V \rightarrow$

V is the map defined by $Ta = a_{-2}|0\rangle$, called the *infinitesimal translation operator*. These data are required to satisfy the following axioms for all $a, b \in V$,

- (i) Truncation: $a_n b = 0$ for $n \gg \infty$,
- (ii) Vacuum: $T|0\rangle = 0$, $Y(a, z)|0\rangle|_{z=0} = a$, i.e., $a_n|0\rangle = \delta_{n,-1}a$ for $n \geq -1$,
- (iii) Translation covariance: $[T, Y(a, z)] = \partial_z Y(a, z)$, i.e., $[T, a_n] = -na_{n-1}$,
- (iv) Locality: $(z-w)^{N(a,b)}[Y(a, z), Y(b, w)] = 0$ for some $N(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

We call V a *vertex algebra* when V is a purely even vector space.

Here we follow the definition of V. Kac [Kac98], while R. Borcherds [Bor86] originally used the *Jacobi identity* instead of the axiom of locality: for $\ell, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u, v \in V$,

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{\ell}{i} \left(u_{m+\ell-i} v_{n+i} - (-1)^{\ell+p(u)p(v)} v_{n+\ell-i} u_{m+i} \right) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{m}{i} (u_{\ell+i} v)_{m+n-i}. \quad (4.1)$$

The equivalence between the Jacobi identity and the axiom of locality can be found in [DSK06]. From the Jacobi identity (4.1), one can get the following useful formulas [LL04, Kac17],

$$\text{commutator formula: } [u_m, v_n] = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{m}{i} (u_i v)_{m+n-i}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\text{skew-symmetry: } u_m v = (-1)^{p(u)p(v)} \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^{m+i+1} \frac{T^i}{i!} v_{m+i} u, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\text{iterate formula: } (u_m v)_n = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} \left(u_{m-i} v_{n+i} - (-1)^{m+p(u)p(v)} v_{m+n-i} u_i \right). \quad (4.4)$$

A vertex superalgebra V is called commutative if $[a_m, b_n] = 0$ for all $a, b \in V$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is known that V is commutative if and only if $a_n = 0$ for all $a \in V$ and $n \geq 0$. Moreover, if V is not commutative, then the number $N(a, b)$ in the axiom of locality is not bounded. Indeed, a commutative vertex superalgebra is the same thing as a unital commutative associative superalgebra with a derivation. See [FHL93, LL04, Kac98] for details.

Let V be vertex superalgebra. The λ -bracket of $a, b \in V$ is defined to be

$$[a_\lambda b] = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} a_n b = \text{Res}_z e^{\lambda z} Y(a, z)b.$$

By the truncation axiom, $[a_\lambda b] \in V[\lambda]$ is a polynomial in λ with coefficients in V . The λ -bracket satisfies the following properties [Kac17], for all $a, b, c \in V$,

$$\text{sesquilinearity: } [(Ta)_\lambda b] = -\lambda[a_\lambda b], \quad [a_\lambda (Tb)] = (\lambda + T)[a_\lambda b], \quad (4.5)$$

$$\text{skew-symmetry: } [b_\lambda a] = -(-1)^{p(a)p(b)} [a_{-\lambda-T} b], \quad (4.6)$$

$$\text{Jacobi identity: } [a_\lambda [b_\mu c]] - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} [b_\mu [a_\lambda c]] = [[a_\lambda b]_{\lambda+\mu} c]. \quad (4.7)$$

Definition 4.1.2. A *Lie conformal superalgebra* is a vector superspace R admitting a $\mathbb{C}[T]$ -module structure, where T is an indeterminate that acts on R as an even endomorphism, endowed with a \mathbb{C} -bilinear λ -bracket $[\cdot, \lambda] : R \otimes R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes R$, such that (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) are satisfied.

Let V be a vertex superalgebra and $a(z) = Y(a, z), b(z) = Y(b, z)$ for $a, b \in V$. The *normal ordered product* of $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ is defined to be

$$: a(z)b(z) := a(z)_+b(z) + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}b(z)a(z)_-,$$

where $a(z)_+ := \sum_{n < 0} a_n z^{-n-1}$ and $a(z)_- := \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^{-n-1}$. One can show that $: a(z)b(z) := Y(a_{-1}b, z)$. The normal ordered product of several vertex operators is defined from right to left, and we have $: a(z)b(z)c(z) := Y(a_{-1}(b_{-1}c), z)$.

The coefficients of $Y(a, z)$ are called the *Fourier coefficients* or *modes* of a . The zero mode a_0 will play an important role in the sequel. The minus one mode a_{-1} is usually considered as a product in V . Indeed, $: ab := a_{-1}b$ defines an algebra structure on V , where the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ plays the role of unit and T plays a role of derivation, i.e., $(V, |0\rangle, ::, T)$ is a unital differential superalgebra. With respect to $::$, V is usually neither commutative nor associative, but we have (see [Kac17])

$$\text{weak-commutativity: } : ab : - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} : ba := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{T^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} a_n b = \int_{-T}^0 [a_\lambda b] d\lambda, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\text{weak-associativity: } :: ab : c : - : a : bc ::$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(: \frac{T^{n+1}a}{(n+1)!} (b_n c) : + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} : \frac{T^{n+1}b}{(n+1)!} (a_n c) : \right) \\ &=: \left(\int_0^T d\lambda a \right) [b_\lambda c] : + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} : \left(\int_0^T d\lambda b \right) [a_\lambda c] : . \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Remark 4.1.3. Given a polynomial $f(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda^i v_i \in V[\lambda]$, where V is a vector space, we define

$$\int_A^B f(\lambda) d\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{B^{i+1} - A^{i+1}}{i+1} v_i.$$

When A, B are operators acting on V , we write $d\lambda$ before the element they act on if it is not clear. For example, in (4.9), T acts on a in the first term and on b in the second term.

The following is a λ -bracket version of the definition of vertex superalgebras [DSK06].

Definition 4.1.4. A vertex superalgebra is a quintuple $(V, |0\rangle, T, [\cdot, \lambda], ::)$, such that

- (i) $(V, [\cdot, \lambda], T)$ is a Lie conformal superalgebra by considering V as a $\mathbb{C}[T]$ -module,
- (ii) $(V, |0\rangle, ::, T)$ is a unital differential superalgebra satisfying (4.8) and (4.9),

(iii) The product $::$ and the λ -bracket $[\cdot, \lambda \cdot]$ are related by the non-commutative Wick formula

$$[a_\lambda : bc :] =: [a_\lambda b]c : + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} : b[a_\lambda c] : + \int_0^\lambda [[a_\lambda b]_\mu c] d\mu. \quad (4.10)$$

A Poisson algebra (Definition 1.1.1) is a commutative associative algebra with another Lie bracket such that the associative multiplication and the Lie bracket satisfy Leibniz's rule. The notion of a Poisson vertex superalgebra can be introduced in a similar way.

Definition 4.1.5 ([Li05]). A *vertex Lie superalgebra* is a triple (V, Y_-, D) , where V is a vector superspace, D is a linear operator $D : V \rightarrow V$ and Y_- is a parity-preserving linear map

$$Y_- : V \rightarrow \text{End } V[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad v \mapsto Y_-(v, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} v_{[n]} z^{-n-1},$$

such that $u_{[n]}v = 0$ for $n \gg 0$, $(Dv)_{[n]} = -nv_{[n-1]}$ for $u, v \in V$ and $n \geq 0$. Moreover, we require (4.2) and (4.3) to be satisfied for all $u, v \in V$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ if we replace a_n by $a_{[n]}$, etc.

Remark 4.1.6. Note that we use a_n for the Fourier coefficients of the linear map Y in a vertex superalgebra, and $a_{[n]}$ for the coefficients of the linear map Y_- in a vertex Lie superalgebra.

Definition 4.1.7. A *Poisson vertex superalgebra* is a commutative vertex superalgebra $(V, |0\rangle, Y, T)$, with a vertex Lie superalgebra structure (V, Y_-, T) such that for all $a, b, c \in V$ and $n \geq 0$, we have

$$a_{[n]}(b_{-1}c) = (a_{[n]}b)_{-1}c + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} b_{-1}(a_{[n]}c).$$

Notation: For a Poisson vertex superalgebra $(V, |0\rangle, Y, Y_-, T)$, since $a_n = 0$ for all $a \in V$ and $n \geq 0$, where a_n is the Fourier coefficients of the vertex operator $Y(a, z)$, we denote by $a_n = a_{[n]}$ for $n \geq 0$, where $a_{[n]}$ is the Fourier coefficients of $Y_-(a, z)$.

Note that in a Poisson vertex superalgebra V , (4.8) and (4.9) become

$$: ab := (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} : ba : \quad \text{and} \quad :: ab : c :=: a : bc ::,$$

so $(V, ::)$ is both commutative and associative. For $a, b \in V$, we denote by

$$\{a_\lambda b\} = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n b. \quad (4.11)$$

One can show that $\{\cdot, \lambda \cdot\}$ also satisfies (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), and we have the following equivalent definition of a Poisson vertex superalgebra [DSK06].

Definition 4.1.8. A Poisson vertex superalgebra is a quintuple $(V, |0\rangle, T, \{\cdot, \lambda \cdot\}, ::)$ such that

- (i) $(V, |0\rangle, ::, T)$ is a unital associative and commutative differential superalgebra,
- (ii) $(V, \{\cdot, \lambda \cdot\}, T)$ is a Lie conformal superalgebra,

(iii) The product $::$ and the λ -bracket $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ are related by the commutative Wick formula

$$\{a_\lambda : bc : \} =: \{a_\lambda b\}c : + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} : b\{a_\lambda c\} : . \quad (4.12)$$

Remark 4.1.9. Compared to the λ -bracket definition of a vertex superalgebra, we do not have the integral terms in (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) for a Poisson vertex superalgebra.

Let V be a vertex superalgebra. The commutator formula (4.2) implies that

$$[a_0, b_n] = (a_0 b)_n. \quad (4.13)$$

Lemma 4.1.10. Let $(V, |0\rangle, Y, T)$ be a vertex superalgebra and $d \in V$ satisfying $d_0^2 = 0$. Then the homology $H(V, d_0) := \frac{\ker d_0}{\text{im } d_0}$ inherits a vertex superalgebra structure from that of V .

Proof. Recall that $Y(d, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} d_n z^{-n-1}$ and d_0 is the zero mode of d . It is enough to prove that for all $a \in \ker d_0$, $Y(a, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^{-n-1}$ is a well-defined element in $\text{End } H(V, d_0)[[z, z^{-1}]]$, i.e., a_n preserves both $\ker d_0$ and $\text{im } d_0$. From (4.13), for all $b \in V$, we have

$$[d_0, a_n]b = (d_0 a)_n b = 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad d_0(a_n b) = (-1)^{p(d)p(a)} a_n(d_0 b). \quad (4.14)$$

Let $u \in \ker d_0$ and $v \in \text{im } d_0$ with $v = d_0 w$. Then (4.14) implies that $a_n u \in \ker d_0$ and

$$a_n v = a_n(d_0 w) = (-1)^{p(d)p(a)} d_0(a_n w) \in \text{im } d_0.$$

Therefore, $Y(a, z)$ is a well-defined element in $\text{End } H(V, d_0)[[z, z^{-1}]]$, for all $a \in \ker d_0$. \square

Remark 4.1.11. $H(V, d_0)$ is a Poisson vertex superalgebra if V is a Poisson vertex superalgebra.

4.2 Non-linear Lie conformal algebras and their universal enveloping vertex algebras

Definition 4.2.1. A non-linear Lie superalgebra is a vector superspace L , equipped with a parity-preserving linear map $[\cdot, \cdot], L \otimes L \rightarrow L \oplus \mathbb{C}$, where \mathbb{C} is defined to be even, such that for all $a, b, c \in L$, we have $[a, b] = -(-1)^{p(a)p(b)}[b, a]$ and the Jacobi identity holds:

$$[a, [b, c]] = [[a, b], c] + (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}[b, [a, c]]. \quad (4.15)$$

We assume that $[\mathbb{C}, L] = 0$ in the Jacobi identity. Note that $L \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is a Lie superalgebra.

Definition 4.2.2. A non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra is a $\mathbb{C}[T]$ -module R , endowed with a λ -bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_\lambda : R \otimes R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\lambda] \otimes (R \oplus \mathbb{C})$, such that (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) are satisfied.

Remark 4.2.3. In the definition of a non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra, we can understand that $T\mathbb{C} = 0$ so that $R \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is a Lie conformal superalgebra. We should also understand that $[\mathbb{C}_\lambda R] = 0$ in the Jacobi identity. There is a more general version of a non-linear Lie conformal algebra in [DSK06].

Given a non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra R , define a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : R \otimes R \rightarrow R \oplus \mathbb{C}$ by

$$[a, b] := - \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-T)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} a_n b = \int_{-T}^0 [a_\lambda b] d\lambda. \quad (4.16)$$

Lemma 4.2.4 ([BK03]). *The Lie bracket (4.16) defines a non-linear Lie superalgebra structure on R .*

Proof. The bracket is obviously bilinear and takes values in $R \oplus \mathbb{C}$. We only need to verify skew-symmetry and the Jacobi identity. We have

$$\int_{-T}^0 [a_\lambda b] d\lambda = -(-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \int_{-T}^0 [b_{-\lambda-T} a] d\lambda = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \int_0^{-T} [b_\mu a] d\mu,$$

i.e., $[a, b] = -(-1)^{p(a)p(b)} [b, a]$.

For the Jacobi identity, note that

$$[a, [b, c]] = \int_{-T}^0 \left[a_\lambda \int_{-T}^0 [b_\mu c] d\mu \right] d\lambda = \int_{-T}^0 \int_{-\lambda-T}^0 [a_\lambda [b_\mu c]] d\mu d\lambda.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [[a, b], c] &= \int_{-T}^0 \left[\int_{-T}^0 [a_\lambda b] d\lambda_\mu c \right] d\mu \\ &= \int_{-T}^0 \int_{\mu}^0 [[a_\lambda b]_\mu c] d\lambda d\mu \\ &= \int_{-T}^0 \int_{-T}^{\lambda} [[a_\lambda b]_\mu c] d\mu d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-T}^0 \int_{-\lambda-T}^0 [[a_\lambda b]_{\mu'+\lambda} c] d\mu' d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [b, [a, c]] &= (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \int_{-T}^0 \left[b_\mu \int_{-T}^0 [a_\lambda c] d\lambda \right] d\mu \\ &= (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \int_{-T}^0 \int_{-\mu-T}^0 [b_\mu [a_\lambda c]] d\lambda d\mu \\ &= (-1)^{p(a)p(b)} \int_{-T}^0 \int_{-\lambda-T}^0 [b_\mu [a_\lambda c]] d\mu d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Now the Jacobi identity (4.15) comes from the Jacobi identity (4.7). \square

The non-linear Lie superalgebra structure on R defined by (4.16) is denoted by R_{Lie} .

Remark 4.2.5. *Let $(R, [\cdot, \cdot], T)$ be a non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra. Another construction of R_{Lie} is as follows [Kac98]. Let $\tilde{R} = (R \oplus \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, where t and t^{-1} are considered as even elements. Let $\tilde{T} = T \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial_t$. Define*

$$(a \otimes f)_n (b \otimes g) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (a_{n+i} b) \otimes \left(\frac{\partial_t^i f}{i!} g \right)$$

and

$$[(a \otimes f)_\lambda(b \otimes g)] = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} (a \otimes f)_n(b \otimes g).$$

Then one can show [Kac98] that \tilde{R} is a Lie conformal superalgebra and $Lie R = \tilde{R}/\tilde{T}\tilde{R}$ is a Lie superalgebra with Lie bracket

$$[a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n] = \sum_{i \geq 0} (a_i b) \otimes t^{m+n-i}.$$

Assume that $R = (\mathbb{C}[T] \otimes U) \oplus S$, where $\mathbb{C}[T] \otimes U$ is the free part and S the torsion part of R as a $\mathbb{C}[T]$ -module. Then it can be proved that

$$Lie R \cong U \otimes [t, t^{-1}] \oplus S \otimes t^{-1} \oplus \mathbb{C} \otimes t^{-1} \quad (4.17)$$

as vector spaces. Let $(Lie R)_-$ be the \mathbb{C} -span of the images of $a \otimes t^n$ for $a \in R$ and $n \geq 0$, and $(Lie R)_+$ be the \mathbb{C} -span of the images of $a \otimes t^n$ for $a \in R$ and $n < 0$. Then both $(Lie R)_\pm$ are non-linear Lie subalgebras of $Lie R$ (by identifying $\mathbb{C}t^{-1}$ with \mathbb{C}). The subalgebra $(Lie R)_-$ is called the annihilation algebra of R and it plays important roles in the representation theory of R . The subalgebra $(Lie R)_+$ is isomorphic to R_{Lie} by sending $s \otimes t^{-1}$ to s for $s \in S$ and $u \otimes t^{-n}$ to $\frac{(-T)^{n-1}u}{(n-1)!}$ for $u \in U$ and $n \geq 1$.

Let R be a non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra, and $S(R)$ the symmetric algebra of R . Then $S(R)$ is naturally a commutative superalgebra. The action of T on R can be extended to $S(R)$ by requiring $T(ab) = T(a)b + aT(b)$ for all $a, b \in S(R)$. Therefore, $S(R)$ is a unital commutative differential associative superalgebra hence a commutative vertex superalgebra. Define a λ -bracket on $S(R)$ by letting $\{\cdot_\lambda \cdot\} = [\cdot_\lambda \cdot] : R \times R \rightarrow S(R)$ be the λ -bracket of R , and then extend it to $S(R) \times S(R)$ by requiring (4.12). Then one can show that these data define a Poisson vertex superalgebra on $S(R)$.

Proposition 4.2.6. *Let R be a non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra, and $S(R)$ the symmetric algebra of R . Then there is a Poisson vertex superalgebra structure on $S(R)$, such that $\{\cdot_\lambda \cdot\} : R \times R \rightarrow S(R)$ is the λ -bracket of R .*

Let R_{Lie} be the non-linear Lie superalgebra defined by (4.16). The universal enveloping algebra of R_{Lie} is defined to be $U(R_{Lie}) = T(R_{Lie})/I$, where $T(R_{Lie})$ is the tensor algebra of R_{Lie} and I is the two-sided ideal of $T(R_{Lie})$ generated by $a \otimes b - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}b \otimes a - [a, b]$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Proposition 4.2.7 ([BK03]). *Let R be a non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra. Then the universal enveloping algebra $U(R_{Lie})$ of R_{Lie} has a vertex superalgebra structure, where the λ -bracket on $R_{Lie} \times R_{Lie}$ is the λ -bracket of R , and the product $::$ on $R_{Lie} \times U(R_{Lie})$ is the product of $U(R_{Lie})$.*

Proof. The unit element of $U(R_{Lie})$ plays the role of the vacuum vector. The λ -bracket and the product $::$ can be extended to $U(R_{Lie})$ by (4.9) and (4.10) in a unique way. \square

Definition 4.2.8. The vertex superalgebra $U(R_{Lie})$ is called the *universal enveloping vertex superalgebra* of R , and is usually denoted by $V(R)$.

Remark 4.2.9. Like the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra, $V(R)$ has the following universal property: (1) The natural inclusion $\iota : R \oplus \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow V(R)$, while $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}|0$, is a Lie conformal superalgebra homomorphism; (2) Let V be a vertex superalgebra and $\varphi : R \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$ a Lie conformal superalgebra homomorphism with $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}|0$. Then there exists a unique vertex superalgebra homomorphism $\psi : V(R) \rightarrow V$ such that $\psi \circ \iota = \varphi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & V(R) \\
 & \nearrow \iota & \downarrow \exists! \psi \\
 R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & V
 \end{array}$$

Let L be a non-linear Lie superalgebra with an invariant supersymmetric bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Cur}^k L := \mathbb{C}[T] \otimes L$. Set

$$[a_\lambda b] := [a, b] + \lambda k(a | b) \quad \text{for } a, b \in L. \quad (4.18)$$

Lemma 4.2.10. *There is a unique non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra structure on $\text{Cur}^k L$ satisfying (4.18).*

Proof. Once the λ -bracket is well-defined for all $a, b \in L$, it extends uniquely to a λ -bracket on $\text{Cur}^k L$ by (4.5). Skew-symmetry of $[\cdot_\lambda \cdot]$ comes from the skew-symmetry of the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and the supersymmetry of $(\cdot | \cdot)$. The Jacobi identity of $[\cdot_\lambda \cdot]$ comes from the Jacobi identity of $[\cdot, \cdot]$. \square

Let A be a finite-dimensional vector superspace and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a non-degenerate skew-supersymmetric bilinear form on A . Let $R(A) := \mathbb{C}[T] \otimes A$ and define

$$[a_\lambda b] := \langle a, b \rangle \quad \text{for } a, b \in A. \quad (4.19)$$

Lemma 4.2.11. *There is a unique non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra structure on $R(A)$ satisfying (4.19).*

Proof. Once the λ -bracket for all $a, b \in A$ are well-defined, it extends uniquely to a λ -bracket on $R(A)$ by (4.5). Skew-symmetry of $[\cdot_\lambda \cdot]$ comes from the skew-symmetry of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The Jacobi identity is trivial since we assume that $[\mathbb{C}_\lambda R] = 0$ in all non-linear Lie conformal superalgebras. \square

Now let us consider the universal enveloping vertex superalgebras of $\text{Cur}^k L$ and $R(A)$.

Example 4.2.12. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra with a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$. The *Kac-Moody affinization* of \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus \mathbb{C}K$$

with Lie bracket:

$$[at^m, bt^n] = [a, b]t^{m+n} + m\delta_{m,-n}(a | b)K, \quad [K, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}] = 0.$$

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+ = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]) \oplus \mathbb{C}K$, which is a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Define a one-dimensional module \mathbb{C}_k of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ on which $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acts as zero and K acts as the constant k . The *level k vacuum representation* of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the induced module

$$V^k(\mathfrak{g}) := \text{Ind}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+}^{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathbb{C}_k.$$

It is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ as a vector space. There is a unique vertex algebra structure on $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ with the vacuum vector being the identity 1 and $a(z) := Y(at^{-1}, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (at^n)z^{-n-1}$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. It is called the *universal affine vertex algebra* of level k associated to \mathfrak{g} .

Remark 4.2.13. Note that $\text{Lie Cur}^k \mathfrak{g} \cong \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by considering $k \otimes t^{-1}$ as K , where $k \otimes t^{-1}$ is defined by (4.17). We have $(\text{Lie Cur}^k \mathfrak{g})_- \cong \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ and $(\text{Lie Cur}^k \mathfrak{g})_+ \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$. Since $(\text{Cur}^k \mathfrak{g})_{\text{Lie}} \cong (\text{Lie Cur}^k \mathfrak{g})_+$, we have $V^k(\mathfrak{g}) \cong U((\text{Cur}^k \mathfrak{g})_{\text{Lie}})$ as vector spaces. Comparing λ -brackets of $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U((\text{Cur}^k \mathfrak{g})_{\text{Lie}})$, one can see that they are isomorphic as vertex algebras.

Example 4.2.14. Let A be a finite-dimensional vector superspace and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a non-degenerate skew-supersymmetric bilinear form on A . The *Clifford affinization* of A is the Lie superalgebra $\hat{A} := (A \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]) \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ with Lie bracket:

$$[at^m, bt^n] = \langle a, b \rangle \delta_{m,-n-1}K, \quad [K, \hat{A}] = 0.$$

Let $\hat{A}_+ = (A \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]) \oplus \mathbb{C}K$, which is an abelian subalgebra of \hat{A} . Let \mathbb{C} be the one-dimensional representation of \hat{A}_+ , on which $A \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acts as zero and K acts as the identity. The *Fock representation* of \hat{A} is the induced module

$$F(A) := \text{Ind}_{\hat{A}_+}^{\hat{A}} \mathbb{C}.$$

It is isomorphic to $U(A \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ as a vector space. There is a unique vertex superalgebra structure on $F(A)$ with the vacuum vector being the identity 1 and $a(z) := Y(at^{-1}, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (at^n)z^{-n-1}$ for $a \in A$. It is called the *vertex superalgebra of fermions* associated to A and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Remark 4.2.15. As in Remark 4.2.13, we have $F(A) \cong U(R(A)_{\text{Lie}})$. Indeed, we have $\text{Lie } R(A) \cong \hat{A}$ by considering $1 \otimes t^{-1}$ as K , where $1 \otimes t^{-1}$ is defined in (4.17). Moreover, we have $(\text{Lie } R(A))_- \cong \hat{A}_+$ and $(\text{Lie } R(A))_+ \cong A \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$. Since $R(A)_{\text{Lie}} \cong (\text{Lie } R(A))_+$, we have $F(A) \cong U(R(A)_{\text{Lie}})$ as vector spaces. Comparing their λ -brackets shows that they are isomorphic as vertex superalgebras.

Here are two examples.

Example 4.2.16. Let A^{ne} be a finite-dimensional vector space and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form on A^{ne} . By Lemma 4.2.11, we have a non-linear Lie conformal algebra $R(A^{ne})$. By Example 4.2.14, we have its universal enveloping vertex algebra $F(A^{ne})$, which is called the *vertex algebra of neutral fermions* associated to A^{ne} and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Example 4.2.17. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and V^* be its dual. Let $\iota(V)$ and $\varepsilon(V^*)$ be copies of V and V^* , respectively, but considered as purely odd spaces. For $v \in V$ and $u^* \in V^*$, let $\iota(v)$ and $\varepsilon(u^*)$ be the corresponding elements in $\iota(V)$ and $\varepsilon(V^*)$. Let $A^{ch} = \iota(V) \oplus \varepsilon(V^*)$, and endow A^{ch} with a non-degenerate skew-supersymmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by setting $\langle \iota(V), \iota(V) \rangle = \langle \varepsilon(V^*), \varepsilon(V^*) \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \iota(v), \varepsilon(u^*) \rangle = \langle \varepsilon(u^*), \iota(v) \rangle = u^*(v)$ for all $v \in V, u^* \in V^*$. By Lemma 4.2.11, we have a non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra $R(A^{ch})$. By Example 4.2.14, we have its universal enveloping vertex superalgebra $F(A^{ch})$, which is called the *vertex superalgebra of charged superfermions* associated to A^{ch} .

4.3 Affine W-algebras associated to truncated current Lie algebras

Now let us come back to the basic setting of Chapter 2. Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite-dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)$. Let \mathfrak{g}_p be the level p truncated current Lie algebra associated to \mathfrak{g} and $(\cdot | \cdot)_p$ a fixed non-degenerate invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g}_p . Let $\Gamma : \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{ad } h_\Gamma} \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}(i)$ be a good \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathfrak{g} with a good element $e \in \mathfrak{g}(2)$, and $\{e, f, h\}$ an sl_2 -triple with $h \in \mathfrak{g}(0)$ and $f \in \mathfrak{g}(-2)$. Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_p = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_p(i), \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{g}_p(i) := \{y \in \mathfrak{g}_p \mid [h_\Gamma, y] = iy\} = \mathfrak{g}(i)_p \quad (4.20)$$

be the corresponding good \mathbb{Z} -grading of \mathfrak{g}_p , with the same good element e . By Lemma 2.2.5, the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$ on $\mathfrak{g}_p(-1)$ defined by $\langle a, b \rangle_p = (e | [a, b])_p$ for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}_p(-1)$ is non-degenerate.

Let $A_p^{ne} = \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}_p(-1))$ be a copy of $\mathfrak{g}_p(-1)$. For $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p(-1)$, let $\varepsilon(x)$ be the corresponding element of A_p^{ne} . More generally, for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$, we write $\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(x_{-1})$, where $x = \sum_i x_i$ and $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_p(i)$. The form $\langle \varepsilon(x), \varepsilon(y) \rangle_p := \langle x, y \rangle_p$ is skew-symmetric and non-degenerate on A_p^{ne} . By Lemma 4.2.11 and Example 4.2.16, we have a non-linear Lie conformal algebra $R(A_p^{ne})$ and its universal enveloping vertex algebra $F(A_p^{ne})$.

Let $\mathfrak{n}_p = \bigoplus_{j < 0} \mathfrak{g}_p(j)$ and \mathfrak{n}_p^* be the dual of \mathfrak{n}_p . Let $\iota(\mathfrak{n}_p)$ and $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{n}_p^*)$ be copies of \mathfrak{n}_p and \mathfrak{n}_p^* , respectively, but considered as purely odd spaces. For $u \in \mathfrak{n}_p$ and $v^* \in \mathfrak{n}_p^*$, let $\iota(u)$ and $\varepsilon(v^*)$ be the corresponding elements of $\iota(\mathfrak{n}_p)$ and $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{n}_p^*)$, respectively. Let $A_p^{ch} = \iota(\mathfrak{n}_p) \oplus \varepsilon(\mathfrak{n}_p^*)$. By Lemma 4.2.11 and Example 4.2.17 we have a non-linear Lie conformal superalgebra $R(A_p^{ch})$ and its universal enveloping vertex superalgebra $F(A_p^{ch})$.

For \mathfrak{g}_p and the bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot)_p$, we have the non-linear Lie conformal algebra $\text{Cur}^k \mathfrak{g}_p$ by Lemma 4.2.10 and its universal enveloping vertex algebra $V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ by Example 4.2.12.

Let us choose a basis $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in S_p^j}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_p(j)$ for each j . Let $S_p^- = \bigcup_{j < 0} S_p^j$ and $S_p' = S_p^{-1}$. Then $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in S_p^-}$ forms a basis of \mathfrak{n}_p and $\{\varepsilon(u_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in S_p'}$ forms a basis of A_p^{ne} . Let $\{u_\alpha^*\}_{\alpha \in S_p^-}$ be the dual basis of \mathfrak{n}_p^* , with $\langle u_\alpha^*, u_\beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha, \beta}$. Then $\{\iota(u_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in S_p^-}$ and $\{\varepsilon(u_\alpha^*)\}_{\alpha \in S_p^-}$ form dual bases of $\iota(\mathfrak{n}_p)$ and $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{n}_p^*)$, respectively. Let $\{c_{i,j}^k\}$ be the structure constants of \mathfrak{g}_p with respect to the basis $\{u_i\}$, i.e., $[u_i, u_j] = \sum_k c_{i,j}^k u_k$.

4.3.1 Classical affine W-algebras through classical Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction

Let

$$R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e) = \text{Cur}^k \mathfrak{g}_p \oplus R(A_p^{ch}) \oplus R(A_p^{ne}) = \mathbb{C}[T] \otimes \left(\mathfrak{g}_p \oplus A_p^{ch} \oplus A_p^{ne} \right)$$

be the direct sum of three non-linear Lie conformal superalgebras and $S(R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e))$ its symmetric algebra. By Proposition 4.2.6, $S(R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e))$ has a Poisson vertex superalgebra structure.

Let

$$\bar{d}^p = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} \varepsilon(u_i^*) (u_i + (e | u_i)_p + \varepsilon(u_i)) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S_p^-} \iota([u_i, u_j]) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*).$$

Obviously, $\bar{d}^p \in S(R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e))$ is an odd element.

Lemma 4.3.1. *We have the following formulas for the λ -bracket of \bar{d}^p in $S(R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e))$,*

- (1) $\{\bar{d}^p \lambda x\} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} ([u_i, x] + k(x | u_i)_p (\lambda + T)) \varepsilon(u_i^*)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$;
- (2) $\{\bar{d}^p \lambda \varepsilon(y)\} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} (e | [u_i, y])_p \varepsilon(u_i^*)$ for $y \in \mathfrak{g}_p(-1)$;
- (3) $\{\bar{d}^p \lambda \varepsilon(v^*)\} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S_p^-} \langle v^*, [u_i, u_j] \rangle \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*)$ for $v^* \in \mathfrak{n}_p^*$;
- (4) $\{\bar{d}^p \lambda \iota(u)\} = \sum_{i, j \in S_p^-} \langle u_i^*, u \rangle \left(\iota([u_i, u_j]) \varepsilon(u_j^*) + u_i + (e | u_i)_p + \varepsilon(u_i) \right)$ for $u \in \mathfrak{n}_p$.

Proof. Let $X(u_i) = u_i + (e | u_i)_p + \varepsilon(u_i)$ and write $\bar{d}^p = \bar{d}^{p,1} + \bar{d}^{p,2}$, where

$$\bar{d}^{p,1} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} \varepsilon(u_i^*) X(u_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}^{p,2} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S_p^-} \iota([u_i, u_j]) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*).$$

Instead of calculating $\{\bar{d}^p \lambda \cdot\}$, we will calculate $\{\cdot \lambda \bar{d}^p\}$ and then use skew-symmetry to get the formulas for $\{\bar{d}^p \lambda \cdot\}$. Our calculations are based on (4.12).

We have $\{a_\lambda b\} = 0$ if a, b come from different summands of $R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$. In particular, $\{x_\lambda \bar{d}^{p,2}\} = \{\varepsilon(y)_\lambda \bar{d}^{p,2}\} = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$ and $y \in \mathfrak{g}_p(-1)$. By (4.12), we have

$$\{x_\lambda \bar{d}^p\} = \{x_\lambda \bar{d}^{p,1}\} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} \varepsilon(u_i^*) \{x_\lambda X(u_i)\} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} \varepsilon(u_i^*) ([x, u_i] + \lambda k(x | u_i)_p) \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$\{\varepsilon(y)_\lambda \bar{d}^p\} = \{\varepsilon(y)_\lambda \bar{d}^{p,1}\} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} \varepsilon(u_i^*) \{\varepsilon(y)_\lambda X(u_i)\} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} (e | [y, u_i])_p \varepsilon(u_i^*). \quad (4.22)$$

We obviously have $\{\varepsilon(v^*)_\lambda \bar{d}^{p,1}\} = 0$, so

$$\{\varepsilon(v^*)_\lambda \bar{d}^p\} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S_p^-} \{\varepsilon(v^*)_\lambda \iota([u_i, u_j])\} \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S_p^-} \langle v^*, [u_i, u_j] \rangle \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*). \quad (4.23)$$

Finally, since $\{\iota(u)_\lambda X(u_i)\} = 0$, we have

$$\{\iota(u)_\lambda \bar{d}^{p,1}\} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} \{\iota(u)_\lambda \varepsilon(u_i^*)\} X(u_i) = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} \langle u_i^*, u \rangle X(u_i), \quad (4.24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{\iota(u)_\lambda \bar{d}^{p,2}\} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} \iota([u_i, u_j]) \langle u_i^*, u \rangle \varepsilon(u_j^*) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} \iota([u_i, u_j]) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \langle u_j^*, u \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} \langle u_i^*, u \rangle \iota([u_i, u_j]) \varepsilon(u_j^*). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Applying skew-symmetry to (4.21), (4.22), (4.23) and (4.24)+(4.25), we get the desired formulas for $\{\bar{d}^p_\lambda \cdot\}$ in $S(R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e))$. \square

Before stating the following proposition, let us recall that in a (Poisson) vertex superalgebra, if v is an odd element and satisfies $\{v_\lambda v\} = 0$, then $(v_0)^2 = 0$. Indeed, $\{v_\lambda v\} = 0$ implies that $v_0 v = 0$. But $2(v_0)^2 = v_0 v_0 + v_0 v_0 = [v_0, v_0] = (v_0 v)_0 = 0$ by (4.13).

Proposition 4.3.2. *We have $\{\bar{d}^p_\lambda \bar{d}^p\} = 0$ hence $(\bar{d}^p_0)^2 = 0$.*

Proof. Recall that $X(u_i) = u_i + (e | u_i)_p + \varepsilon(u_i)$ and $\{c_{i,j}^k\}$ are the structure constants of \mathfrak{g}_p with respect to the basis $\{u_i\}$. Using (4.12) and the formulas in Lemma 4.3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\bar{d}^p_\lambda \bar{d}^{p,1}\} &= \sum_{\ell \in S_p^-} \{\bar{d}^p_\lambda \varepsilon(u_\ell^*)\} X(u_\ell) - \sum_{i \in S_p^-} \varepsilon(u_i^*) \{\bar{d}^p_\lambda X(u_i)\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,\ell \in S_p^-} \langle u_\ell^*, [u_i, u_j] \rangle \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) X(u_\ell) - \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} \varepsilon(u_i^*) ([u_j, u_i] + \lambda k(u_i | u_j)_p) \varepsilon(u_j^*) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} \varepsilon(u_i^*) (e | [u_j, u_i]_p) \varepsilon(u_j^*) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,\ell \in S_p^-} c_{i,j}^\ell \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) X(u_\ell) + \sum_{i,j,\ell \in S_p^-} c_{i,j}^\ell \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) (u_\ell + (e | u_\ell)_p) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,\ell \in S_p^-} c_{i,j}^\ell \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) X(u_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

In the above calculations, we used the fact that $(u_i | u_j)_p = 0$ for $i, j \in S_p^-$. Moreover, when $c_{i,j}^\ell \neq 0$ and $i, j \in S_p^-$, we have $u_\ell \in \bigoplus_{i \leq -2} \mathfrak{g}_p(i)$, hence $\varepsilon(u_\ell) = 0$ and $X(u_\ell) = u_\ell + (e | u_\ell)_p$.

For the other part, we have $\{\bar{d}^p_\lambda \bar{d}^{p,2}\} = A + B + C$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} \{ \bar{d}^p_\lambda \iota([u_i, u_j]) \} \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*), \\ B &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} \iota([u_i, u_j]) \{ \bar{d}^p_\lambda \varepsilon(u_i^*) \} \varepsilon(u_j^*), \\ C &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} \iota([u_i, u_j]) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \{ \bar{d}^p_\lambda \varepsilon(u_j^*) \}. \end{aligned}$$

By the formulas in Lemma 4.3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,s,t \in S_p^-} \langle u_s^*, [u_i, u_j] \rangle (\iota([u_s, u_t]) \varepsilon(u_t^*) + X([u_i, u_j])) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,s,t,\ell \in S_p^-} c_{i,j}^s \left(c_{s,t}^\ell \iota(u_\ell) \varepsilon(u_t^*) + X(u_s) \right) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,s,t,\ell \in S_p^-} c_{i,j}^s c_{s,t}^\ell \iota(u_\ell) \varepsilon(u_t^*) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,s \in S_p^-} c_{i,j}^s X(u_s) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*). \end{aligned}$$

By the formulas in Lemma 4.3.1, $\varepsilon(u_i^*)$ and $\{\bar{d}^p_\lambda \varepsilon(u_i^*)\}$ commute with each other, so

$$\begin{aligned} B + C &= \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} \iota([u_i, u_j]) \{ \bar{d}^p_\lambda \varepsilon(u_i^*) \} \varepsilon(u_j^*) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,s,t \in S_p^-} \iota([u_i, u_j]) \langle u_i^*, [u_s, u_t] \rangle \varepsilon(u_s^*) \varepsilon(u_t^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,s,t,\ell \in S_p^-} c_{i,j}^\ell c_{s,t}^i \iota(u_\ell) \varepsilon(u_s^*) \varepsilon(u_t^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is clear that

$$A + B + C = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,s \in S_p^-} c_{i,j}^s X(u_s) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*).$$

Hence we have $\{\bar{d}^p_\lambda \bar{d}^p\} = 0$ and $(\bar{d}_0^p)^2 = 0$.

□

Definition 4.3.3. The classical affine W -algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ associated to the data (\mathfrak{g}_p, e, k) is defined to be the homology $H(S(R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)), \bar{d}_0^p)$. It inherits a Poisson vertex superalgebra structure from that of $S(R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e))$.

Remark 4.3.4. Classical affine W -algebras ($p = 0$ and e regular case) were first discovered by Drinfeld and Sokolov [DS84]. They were used to construct hierarchies on some infinite-dimensional Poisson manifolds. P. Casati [Cas11] generalized Drinfeld and Sokolov's method and constructed hierarchies on affinizations of truncated current Lie algebras. The authors of [DSKV13] constructed more

general integrable hierarchies corresponding to other classical affine W -algebras ($p = 0$ case). The classical affine W -algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ might be used to construct integrable hierarchies on Drinfeld-Sokolov reductions of affinizations of truncated current Lie algebras.

4.3.2 Quantum affine W -algebras as quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reductions

Let $C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e) := V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p) \otimes F(A_p^{ch}) \otimes F(A_p^{ne})$ be the tensor product of the three vertex superalgebras, which is again a vertex superalgebra and contains $V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p)$, $F(A_p^{ch})$ and $F(A_p^{ne})$ as vertex subalgebras. Indeed, $C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ can also be considered as the universal enveloping vertex superalgebra of $R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$.

Let

$$d^p = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} \varepsilon(u_i^*)(u_i + \epsilon(u_i) + (e | u_i)_p) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S_p^-} : \iota([u_i, u_j]) \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*) : . \quad (4.26)$$

The element $d^p \in C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ is obviously odd. Define a charge grading on $C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ by setting

$$\text{cdeg } V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p) = \text{cdeg } F(A_p^{ne}) = 0, \quad -\text{cdeg } \iota(u) = \text{cdeg } \varepsilon(v^*) = 1 \text{ for } u \in \mathfrak{n}_p, v^* \in \mathfrak{n}_p^*.$$

Then it induces a \mathbb{Z} -grading on $C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ and d^p is of charge degree 1.

Lemma 4.3.5. *We have the following formulas for the λ -bracket of d^p in $C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$,*

- (1) $[d^p_\lambda x] = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} ([u_i, x] + k(x | u_i)_p (\lambda + T)) \varepsilon(u_i^*)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}_p$;
- (2) $[d^p_\lambda \epsilon(y)] = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} (e | [u_i, y])_p \varepsilon(u_i^*)$ for $y \in \mathfrak{g}_p(-1)$;
- (3) $[d^p_\lambda \varepsilon(v^*)] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in S_p^-} \langle v^*, [u_i, u_j] \rangle \varepsilon(u_i^*) \varepsilon(u_j^*)$ for $v^* \in \mathfrak{n}_p^*$;
- (4) $[d^p_\lambda \iota(u)] = \sum_{i, j \in S_p^-} \langle u_i^*, u \rangle \left(: \iota([u_i, u_j]) \varepsilon(u_j^*) : + u_i + (e | u_i)_p + \epsilon(u_i) \right)$ for $u \in \mathfrak{n}_p$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Lemma 4.3.1, except that we have (4.10) instead of (4.12). But we only need to notice two facts. First, the elements of $R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ have same λ -bracket in $C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ and in $S(R^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e))$. Second, the extra term in (4.10) always vanishes in the calculations that we did in the proof of Lemma 4.3.1. Therefore, we have the same result in the end. \square

Proposition 4.3.6. *We have $[d^p_\lambda d^p] = 0$, which implies that $(d_0^p)^2 = 0$ as d^p is odd.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Proposition 4.3.2 for the same reason as in the proof of Lemma 4.3.5. \square

Definition 4.3.7. The quantum affine W -algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ associated to the data (\mathfrak{g}_p, e, k) is defined to be the cohomology of the complex $(C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e), d_0^p)$.

Remark 4.3.8. When $p = 0$, the complex $(C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e), d_0^p)$ is called the BRST complex of the quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction.

4.3.3 Quantum affine W-algebra as (adjusted) semi-infinite cohomology

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p = \mathfrak{n}_p \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ be the affinization of \mathfrak{n}_p with the \mathbb{Z} -grading: $(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p)_i = \mathfrak{n}_p \otimes t^i$. Denote by $u_{i,n} = u_i \otimes t^n$ for $u_i \in \mathfrak{n}_p$. Then $\{u_{i,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in S_p^-}$ forms a basis of $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p^* := \mathfrak{n}_p^* \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ and write $u_{i,n}^* = u_i^* \otimes t^n$. Then $\{u_{i,n}^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in S_p^-}$ forms a basis of $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p^*$. One can identify $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p^*$ with the restricted dual of $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$ under the pairing $\langle u_{j,m}^*, u_{i,n} \rangle := \delta_{m,-n-1} \delta_{i,j}$, and we define $(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p)_i^* = \mathfrak{n}_p^* \otimes t^{-i-1}$.

As \mathfrak{n}_p is nilpotent, by Proposition 3.2.13, $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$ admits a semi-infinite structure through

$$\rho^0(x) = \sum_{i \in S_p^-, n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \iota(\text{ad } x(u_{i,n})) \varepsilon(u_{i,-n-1}^*) : .$$

Let $\beta_e \in \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p^*$ be defined by $\beta_e(u \otimes t^n) := \delta_{n,-1}(e | u)_p$ for $u \in \mathfrak{n}_p$. Then $\beta_e \in (\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p^*)_{-1}$. Let $\rho^{\beta_e}(x) := \rho^0(x) + \beta_e(x)$ for $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$. Then for $x, y \in \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$,

$$\gamma^{\beta_e}(x, y) = [\rho^{\beta_e}(x), \rho^{\beta_e}(y)] - \rho^{\beta_e}([x, y]) = -\beta_e([x, y]).$$

Therefore, $\rho^{\beta_e}(x)$ gives a semi-infinite structure on $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$ if and only if $\beta_e([x, y]) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}_p$, which is true if and only if the \mathbb{Z} -grading (4.20) is even.

Let $\mathfrak{m}_p = \bigoplus_{i \leq -2} \mathfrak{g}_p(i)$, $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}_p = \mathfrak{m}_p \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p(-1) = \mathfrak{g}_p(-1) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. Note that $\ker \gamma^{\beta_e} = \hat{\mathfrak{m}}_p$, and $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p(-1)$ is a graded complement of $\ker \gamma^{\beta_e}$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$. Moreover, we have $[\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p, \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p] \subseteq \hat{\mathfrak{m}}_p$, so the Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$ satisfies the assumption after Remark 3.3.2 with respect to the 1-cochain β_e in the discussion of adjusted semi-infinite cohomology. We can thus consider the adjusted semi-infinite cohomology of $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$ with coefficients in the smooth module $V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p)$, with respect to β_e . The complex is $V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p) \otimes \Lambda^{\infty/2+\bullet} \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p^* \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\beta_e}$ and the differential is

$$\begin{aligned} d^{\beta_e} &= \sum_{i \in S_p^-, n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{i,n} \varepsilon(u_{i,-n-1}^*) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{i,j \in S_p^-, \\ n,m \in \mathbb{Z}}} : \iota([u_{i,n}, u_{j,m}]) \varepsilon(u_{i,-n-1}^*) \varepsilon(u_{j,-m-1}^*) : \\ &\quad + \varepsilon(\beta_e) + \sum_{i \in S_p', n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon(u_{i,-n-1}^*) \varepsilon(u_{i,n}). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the Lie (super)algebra structures on $cl(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p)$ and $\varepsilon(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p(-1)) \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ defined in Chapter 3. Note that $\Lambda^{\infty/2+\bullet} \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p^*$ and \mathfrak{F}_{β_e} are the Fock representations of $cl(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p)$ and $\varepsilon(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p(-1)) \oplus \mathbb{C}K$, respectively. Since $cl(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p) \cong \hat{A}_p^{ch}$ and $\varepsilon(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_p(-1)) \oplus \mathbb{C}K \cong \hat{A}_p^{ne}$, we have $F(A_p^{ch}) \cong \Lambda^{\infty/2+\bullet} \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p^*$ and $F(A_p^{ne}) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{\beta_e}$ as vector spaces. Therefore, on the complex level, we have $C^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e) \cong V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p) \otimes \Lambda^{\infty/2+\bullet} \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p^* \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\beta_e}$. On the level of differential, $d_0^p = \text{Res}_z d^p(z)$ is the coefficient of z^{-1} in the expression of $d^p(z)$, where $d^p(z)$ is the vertex operator of d^p defined by (4.26) and has the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} d^p(z) &= \sum_{i \in S_p^-} u_i(z) \varepsilon(u_i^*)(z) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} : \iota([u_i, u_j])(z) \varepsilon(u_i^*)(z) \varepsilon(u_j^*)(z) : \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \in S_p^-} (e | u_i) \varepsilon(u_i^*)(z) + \sum_{i \in S_p'} \varepsilon(u_i^*)(z) \varepsilon(u_i)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the expressions of the vertex operators $u_i(z), \varepsilon(u_i^*)(z), \iota(u_i)(z)$ and $\epsilon(u_i)(z)$ given in the examples (4.2.12) and (4.2.14). We have

$$\operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{i \in S_p^-} u_i(z) \varepsilon(u_i^*)(z) = \operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{\substack{i \in S_p^-, \\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}} u_{i,n} \varepsilon(u_{i,m}^*) z^{-n-m-2} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-, n \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{i,n} \varepsilon(u_{i,-n-1}^*),$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{i \in S_p^-} (e | u_i) \varepsilon(u_i^*)(z) = \operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{i \in S_p^-, n \in \mathbb{Z}} (e | u_i)_p \varepsilon(u_{i,n}^*) z^{-n-1} = \sum_{i \in S_p^-} (e | u_i)_p \varepsilon(u_{i,0}^*) = \varepsilon(\beta_e),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{i \in S_p'} \varepsilon(u_i^*)(z) \epsilon(u_i)(z) = \operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{\substack{i \in S_p^-, \\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}}} \varepsilon(u_{i,m}^*) \epsilon(u_{i,n}) z^{-n-m-2} = \sum_{i \in S_p', n \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon(u_{i,-n-1}^*) \epsilon(u_{i,n}).$$

Let $X = \sum_{i,j \in S_p^-} : \iota([u_i, u_j])(z) \varepsilon(u_i^*)(z) \varepsilon(u_j^*)(z) : .$ Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_z X &= \operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{\substack{i,j \in S_p^-, \\ n,m,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}}} : \iota([u_i, u_j] \otimes t^\ell) \varepsilon(u_{i,-m-1}^*) \varepsilon(u_{j,-n-1}^*) : z^{m+n-\ell-1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i,j \in S_p^-, \\ n,m \in \mathbb{Z}}} : \iota([u_{i,n}, u_{j,m}]) \varepsilon(u_{i,-n-1}^*) \varepsilon(u_{j,-m-1}^*) : . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $d_0^p = d^{\beta_e}$. This proves the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3.9 ([He17a]). *The affine W-algebra $W^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e)$ is the adjusted semi-infinite cohomology of $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$ with coefficients in $V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p)$ with respect to β_e . By Proposition 3.3.12, it is also an ordinary semi-infinite cohomology, i.e.,*

$$W^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e) = H_a^{\infty/2+\bullet}(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p, \beta_e, V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p)) \cong H^{\infty/2+\bullet}(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p, V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p) \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\beta_e})$$

Remark 4.3.10. *When the \mathbb{Z} -grading in (4.20) is even and $p = 0$, i.e., when ρ^{β_e} gives a semi-infinite structure on $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$, the Fock representation \mathfrak{F}_{β_e} reduces to a one-dimensional module \mathbb{C}_{β_e} on which $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p$ acts as $\beta_e(x)$. This recovers the semi-infinite cohomology realization of affine W-algebras in principal nilpotent cases [FF90].*

Remark 4.3.11. *When $p = 0$, the isomorphism $W^k(\mathfrak{g}_p, e) \cong H^{\infty/2+\bullet}(\hat{\mathfrak{n}}_p, V^k(\mathfrak{g}_p) \otimes \mathfrak{F}_{\beta_e})$ was also observed in [Ara05] (Remark 3.6.1), though the construction there was a bit different from ours.*